

A Declining Farm Workforce: Analysis of Panel Data from Rural Mexico Diane Charlton and J. Edward Taylor

Background

- Rural Mexico is the primary source of hired labor for U.S. farms.
- Worldwide, as countries' per capita incomes rise, domestic workers shift out of agriculture in what is called the "agricultural transformation".
- Many potential factors explain this, including
 - Expanding education
 - Non-farm employment growth
 - Decreasing birth rates
- As Americans moved out of hired farm work in the 20th Century, rural Mexico filled the gap with an elastic supply of labor to U.S. farms
 - Enabling labor-intensive fruit, vegetable, and horticultural (FVH) production to expand (Martin, 2003)
 - Discouraging labor-saving technological change
 - Creating challenges to farm labor organizing
 - Contributing to the transmission of poverty from rural Mexico to rural America (Martin, Fix and Taylor, 2006; Martin and Taylor, 1998)
- Farm wages are rising in the U.S. and farm workers are demanding higher wages in Mexico, which leads to the questions: "Are rural Mexicans transitioning out of agriculture? And if so, what are the key causal factors?"



Farm Workers are Demanding Higher Wages in Mexico





armworkers harvest strawberries last week in Bai California. A strike is winding down Monday afte nearly two weeks.

(Don Bartletti / Los Angeles Times)

Objectives

- We test whether there is a negative trend in the farm labor supply from rural Mexico using nationally representative household survey data, and we "unpack" the trend to identify factors explaining this agricultural transformation.
- We use unique 31-year panel data.

www.PosterPresentations.com

- We investigate how the agricultural transformation unfolds in a a lessdeveloped country linked with labor markets in a more developed country.
 - An inward shift in the farm labor supply from rural Mexico implies that U.S. and Mexican farmers must compete for workers at increasing wages.
 - A U.S. guest-worker program will not solve the U.S. farm labor shortage if migrants seek non-farm work in the U.S. or find better opportunities in Mexico.

Agricultural and Resource Economics University of California, Davis

Data

- The UCD-COLMEX Mexico National Rural Household Survey (Spanish acronym ENHRUM)
 - 31 years of panel data (1980-2010)
 - 80 communities
 - Labor allocations of 9,837 rural Mexicans
 - 154,766 person-year observations
- Summary statistics show that the percentage of working-age individuals working in agriculture decreased by 23.8 percentage points between 1980 and 2010 while expected education rose for younger generations.



	Sector of Work	in 1980 and	l 2010		Yea	rs of Co	mplete	ed Scho	oling by	Age in 2010
Year	Sector	Percentage	sd	Obs	Age	Mean	\mathbf{sd}	Min	Max	Obs
1980	Agriculture Non-agriculture	$46.5 \\ 22.0$	49.9 41.4	2,230	20-29 30-39	8.94 7.74	$3.42 \\ 3.67$	0 0	$\frac{17}{21}$	$1,320 \\ 1,314$
	0				40-49	6.58	3.96	0	18	996
2010	Agriculture Non-agriculture	22.7 36.2	41.9 48.1	5,215	50-59	5.04	3.65	0	19	614

Empirical Model

- Let Y_{it} equal 100 if individual *i* works in agriculture in year *t* and 0 otherwise.
- Regress Y_{i,t} on its lags and a yearly time trend. The lags control for persistence in an individual's labor choice decision from one year to the next. (Only the first 2 lags are significant.)

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \gamma_1 Y_{i,t-1} + \gamma_2 Y_{i,t-2} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

Since individual work decisions are likely correlated across years, $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is not independently and identically distributed.

$$\epsilon_{i,t} = \alpha_i + u_{i,t}$$

- α_i is correlated across years, but including individual FE in dynamic models leads to biased coefficient estimates (Nickell, 1981).
- Since the objective of this analysis is to identify the time trend, which is not correlated with α_i by definition, OLS estimation gives consistent estimates of a linear trend.
- We additionally control for individual, household, and regional characteristics, denoted X_{i,t}, which include regional fixed effects, age, gender, education, and household size.
- Let Z_{it} be a vector of trending variables, including the ratio of Mexican industrial to agricultural GDP, intensity of U.S.-Mexico border patrol, real U.S. farm wages, and the number of reported homicides in the home municipality. We regress on both the lagged level effects $Z_{i,t-1}$ and differences ΔZ_{it} . This controls for short-term shocks, so that we can find long-term impacts without picking up correlations from cointegration.

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 X_{i,t} + \delta_1 Z_{i,t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta Z_{i,t} + \gamma_1 Y_{i,t-1} + \gamma_2 Y_{i,t-2} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

 $1-\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}$

- From this equation, we calculate the long-run impacts as follows:
 - Residual trend $\frac{\beta_i}{1-\gamma_i-\gamma_i}$
 - Non-trending variables $\frac{\beta_{1}}{1-\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}}$
 - And trending variables _____

Findings

Identifying the Trend (1982-2010)

- The probability of working in agriculture is decreasing by 0.97 percentage points each year on average.
- Scaling by the working-age population of rural Mexico in 2010, this implies a decrease in the farm labor supply by over 150 thousand people each year.
- The trend is negative and significant in all census regions.

Percentage Probability of Working in Agriculture, Adjusted Long Run Effects					
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
VARIABLES	Baseline national trend	Control for age	Regional trends		
t	-0.900	-0.971			
	(0.081)***	(0.080)***			
age in year t		0.507	0.520		
		(0.049)***	(0.048)***		
Central region			5.843		
-			(5.023)		
West-Central region			-3.579		
			(4.858)		
Northwest region			-4.845		
			(5.018)		
Northeast region			-20.134		
_			(5.317)***		
South-Southeast regional trend			-0.726		
-			(0.165)***		
Central regional trend			-1.095		
-			(0.176)***		
West-Central regional trend			-1.279		
			(0.154)***		
Northwest regional trend			-1.287		
-			(0.179)***		
Northeast regional trend			-0.676		
-			(0.206)***		
Observations	134,997	134,997	134,997		
R-squared	0.834	0.834	0.834		
Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses, clustered at the individual level					

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Predicted Probability of Working in Agriculture by Region



Unpacking the Trend (1991-2010)

Key factors driving the downward trend:

- Rising non-farm employment in Mexico
- Expanding rural education
- Decreasing birthrates

Percentage Probability of Working in Agriculture, Adjusted Long Run Effects					
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
VARIABLES	No FE	Village FE	Household FE		
t	-5.492	-5.157	-4.351		
	(1.114)***	(1.021)***	(0.823)***		
age in year t	0.259	0.272	0.364		
• •	(0.056)***	(0.052)***	(0.052)***		
female	-23.663	-24.432	-25.190		
	(1.179)***	(1.083)***	(0.913)***		
MX industrial:ag GDP	-16.510	-14.865	-12.282		
-	(4.260)***	(3.925)***	(3.210)***		
ratio children:adults in hh	8.294	7.630	2.617		
	(1.058)***	(1.003)***	(1.319)**		
years of education	-1.303	-1.194	-0.976		
•	(0.150)***	(0.153)***	(0.165)***		
border patrol	4.166	3.756	2.803		
	(0.743)***	(0.686)***	(0.553)***		
US farm wage	22.033	21.286	17.485		
	(6.518)***	(6.005)***	(4.882)***		
homicides (1000s)	-141.506	19.802	22.843		
	(11.633)***	(39.385)	(32.327)		
Observations	80,623	80,623	80,623		
R-squared	0.820	0.821	0.826		
Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses, clustered at the individual level					

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses, clustered at the individual level *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

		Pre	<u>90</u>
		The attr	ibı
	•	effe Ris: slop	ing
	• ′	The	e so
	Change in Farm Work Force (1000's) -60 -40 -20 0 20 40	1990	
•		ura	
		nnu	
•	SI	his 1pp orce	ly
•	N	fex:	ico
•		ls th ease	
•		vork	
N	1art	tin,	Pł

Farm Workers. Cornell University Press. Martin, Philip L., and J. Edward Taylor. 1998. "Poverty Amid Prosperity: Farm Employment, Immigration, and Poverty in California." American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 1008-1014. Martin, Philip L., Michael Fix, and J. Edward Taylor. 2006. The New Rural Poverty: Agriculture and Immigration in California. The Urban Institute. Nickell, Stephen. 1981. "Biases in Dynamic Models with Fixed Effects." Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, 1471-1426.



dicted Impacts on the Farm Labor Supply

dashed lines represent the predicted change in farm labor supply outable to each variable after controlling for household fixed

g U.S. farm wages and border patrol mitigate the downward e of the trend, though their effect is quantitatively small. solid blue line is the national trend, the sum of all factors that ct the farm labor supply, and it is quickly declining.



Conclusions

Mexicans' probability of working in agriculture—whether in o or the United States—declined by 0.97 percentage points lly between 1982 and 2010.

- Increased education pushes workers out of agriculture.
- Industrial growth in Mexico pulls workers out of agriculture. • U.S. farm wages and tighter border enforcement retain some
- workers in agriculture, but not enough to reverse the trend. • The residual trend remains significant and negative after controlling for all of these factors.

mplies a decrease of over 150 thousand workers in the farm labor each year, after scaling by the size of the rural Mexican labor n 2010 (16 million people).

o and the United States compete for this diminishing supply of vorkers.

Mexican workforce shifts out of agriculture, immigration policy to be a solution for the U.S. farm labor problem.

armers will have to switch to less labor-intensive crops, seek rs from other countries, or invest in labor-saving technologies.

- The first two options appear less viable at the national level. • Greater mechanization in agriculture will raise the marginal
- productivity of workers and leads to higher wages.
- This will likely benefit farm workers and the rural communities where farm workers live.

References

Martin, Philip L. 2003. Promise Unfulfilled: Unions, Immigration, and the

Contact

Diane Charlton decharlton@ucdavis.edu J. Edward Taylor jetaylor@ucdavis.edu